Women in prison under Covid-19: Issues for charging, remand and sentence

The current situation in prisons
The government has expressed ‘cautious optimism’ that measures in place to prevent transmission of Covid-19 in our prisons are proving effective. However, it is clear that this comes at a cost. ‘Compartmentalisation’ and social distancing is being achieved, as far as that is possible, through heavily restricted regimes, involving the cancellation of all social visits, and the stopping of almost all education, training and employment. Time out of cell for all prisoners is significantly curtailed with very limited opportunity for exercise and association. Conditions are consistent with, or very close to, international definitions of solitary confinement (22 hours or more alone each day). Worryingly, Public Health England’s (PHE) advice to the government suggests that restrictions will have to continue into next year if the virus is to be contained and managed.

Women in prison during Covid-19 restrictions
As Baroness Corston identified in her pivotal report in 2007, the impact of imprisonment on women and those they usually care for is particularly harsh. It follows that during the current emergency, women remanded and sentenced to prison are likely to experience particular difficulties and challenges. Women who are imprisoned tend to be a highly vulnerable group. Many have pre-existing physical health needs that make them particularly at risk should they contract Covid-19. As of 12 May 2020, two women and 19 men had died from natural causes that may have been exacerbated by Covid-19. So far women have accounted for almost 10 per cent of deaths when women are only four per cent of the current prison population, and in the general population women are thought to experience less severe symptoms than men.

Many women in prison also have pre-existing mental health difficulties that will be exacerbated by lack of contact with their support networks and by solitary confinement. Almost half of all women in custody experience anxiety and report previous attempts to take their own life. The prevalence of self-injury amongst women in prison, even under normal conditions, is five times that in the male estate. Separation from children, without the possibility of social visits will be a source of extreme anxiety to many women, as they are unable to parent, or have contact with, their children at this worrying time. In addition to the immediate risks, maintaining good family relationships is particularly key for women’s rehabilitation. The government recognise in the Female Offender Strategy (2018) that short prison sentences for women under normal conditions are generally counter-productive. Under the current regime they are likely to be even more damaging to women, their families and their efforts at desistance in future.

Additionally, PHE’s advice to the government identifies the greatest risks for secondary outbreaks arising in prisons with a ‘high population turnover’. Most female remand prisons would fall into this category – the average length of stay in Bronzefield Prison, for example, is three weeks. PHE warn about the escalation of risk for prisoners and the wider community should the courts return to ‘nearer normal levels of activity’.
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Lucy Frazer QC MP, in response to questions in the House on 27th April, made reference to the various strands of work to prevent people coming unnecessarily into prisons, including ‘good mechanisms in place in relation to bail’ and ‘good offers in relation to non-custodial orders’. Indeed community organisations continue to provide services for women despite the difficulties. Detailed information is needed for prosecutors, judges and magistrates, so that they can take into account the particularly vulnerable position of women at this time and ensure all available resources are utilised effectively to divert women from prosecution and prison.

**What information has been provided to prosecutors, judges and magistrates about the particular impact of the current prison conditions on women, the envisaged length for which the Covid-19 restrictions will be in place, and mechanisms to support non-custodial measures?**

**Remand decision-making**

Prior to the lockdown the number of women being remanded into prison to await trial or sentence was a growing problem. On 31 December 2019, the number of women held on remand had increased by 21 per cent on the previous year (to 568). There is also good evidence that remand was being used unnecessarily, with 63 per cent of women remanded into custody in magistrates’ courts in 2018 not going on to receive a custodial term, and 9 in 10 women on remand being of low to medium risk of serious harm. Under international law decision-makers are under a duty to consider the rights of children affected by remand decisions, just as by sentencing decisions, and to take into account the impact on any dependent child, and their best interests, in making the decision. In the vast majority of cases remanding women into custody at this time would, for themselves and their families, represent a disproportionate response to any risk they may pose.

Prosecutors when deciding whether or not to resist bail should be advised to take into careful consideration the severe impact of remand on women and their families at this time. They must also be encouraged to ensure that the defence and the court have taken advantage of available bail information schemes. Judges and magistrates must be encouraged to ensure that any decision to remand to custody is subject to anxious scrutiny in light of the conditions in prisons and the community. In particular, remand for a woman’s ‘own protection’ (under the Bail Act 1976) should not be considered at this time. We understand no guidance has been provided to judges and magistrates in respect of remand decision-making.

**What guidance has been provided to prosecutors and members of the judiciary in relation to remand decision-making under Covid-19 restrictions?**

**Sentencing decisions**

We welcome the salient reminder to judges and magistrates from the Lord Chief Justice and his judicial colleagues in *R v Manning* that they should keep in mind that the impact of a prison sentence is likely to be more severe during the current emergency than it would otherwise be. Sentencers should be encouraged to avoid at all costs sending women to prison at this time. This is especially pressing in the case of pregnant women and mothers. The severe impact of the current prison conditions on women and their families will affect whether, for those on the cusp of custody, a prison sentence is a proportionate response to their offending. For a woman at risk of custody the power to defer sentence to enable her to engage with support or treatment in the community should be considered, where appropriate. Where custody is unavoidable, the possibility of suspending the sentence, in suitable cases, should also be considered. The prospect that immediate custody will result in significant harmful impact to others is a factor indicating that suspension may be appropriate. Such an approach will be particularly important as the courts return to more usual levels of activity, to prevent numbers in women’s prisons rising to dangerous levels.

**Have members of the judiciary received any guidance in respect of how to approach sentence for women in particular under Covid-19 restrictions?**
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